

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/046,426 01/16/2002 Alexander Gurary EMCORE 3.0-069 2965 530 7590 08/23/2004 **EXAMINER** LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, MOORE, KARLA A KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 1763

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A Company of the Comp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/046,426	GURARY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karla Moore	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ine 2004	
	action is non-final.	
B) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-32 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-32 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-19 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 20-23 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,225 to Ohkase et al. in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0068870 A1 to Noorbakhsh et al and U.S. Patent No. 3,564,454 to Schrader.
- 3. Ohkase et al. disclose a reactor for deposition substantially as claimed in Figure 2, comprising: a reaction chamber (4) including a passthrough opening (adjacent G1) capable of inserting and removing wafer carriers from said reaction chamber; a completely surrounding cylindrical shutter (50) located inside said reaction chamber for selectively closing said passthrough opening and a second position for opening said passthrough opening (column 6, rows 20-27).
- 4. However, Ohkase et al. fail to teach said cylindrical shutter includes an internal cavity, wherein the internal cavity of said cylindrical shutter completely surrounds said at least one of said wafer carriers secured within said reaction chamber.
- 4. Noorbakhsh et al. teach the use of a liner (104) with an internal cavity (322) of the liner completely surrounding a wafer (see Figures 2A and 2B; also see paragraph 40) for the purpose of providing a thermally controlled chamber liner capable of reducing stress formation in films deposited on the liner and thus increasing service life of the liner while minimizing film fracture and the associated particulate generation (paragraphs 16 and 63).
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided an internal cavity surrounding a wafer in order to provide a thermally controlled chamber liner capable of reducing stress formation in films deposited on the liner and thus

Art Unit: 1763

increasing service life of the liner while minimizing film fracture and the associated particulate generation as taught by Noorbakhsh et al.

- 6. With respect to the configuration of the internal cavity of the liner in Noorbakhsh et al., Examiner recognizes that in the examples shown the internal cavity does not "completely" surround the liner, but very nearly. At paragraph 40, Noorbakhsh et al. teach that those of ordinary skill in the art can devise alternate configurations. Further, the courts have ruled that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the more of the circumference that contains a temperature controlling passage, the more accurately temperature can be controlled.
- 7. Ohkase et al. and Noorbakhsh et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed and as described above.
- 8. However, Ohkase et al. and Noorbakhsh et al. fail to teach the use of at least one of said wafer carriers being secured within said reaction chamber, wherein said cylindrical shutter completely surrounds said at least one of said wafer carriers secured within said reaction chamber and further comprising a rotatable spindle (as recited in claim 13) having an upper end located inside said reaction chamber, wherein at least one of said wafer carriers is secured to the upper end of the spindle.
- 9. Schrader teaches the use of a wafer carrier (Figures 1 and 2, 13; column 2, row 64 through column 3, row 30) for the purpose supporting substrates during a coating process. The wafer carrier is secured to a spindle (96) for the purpose of rotating the wafers/carrier during the coating process to aid in uniform coating thickness and quality. The wafer carrier has an outer perimeter that would be surrounded by the cylindrical liner (as recited in claim 14).
- 10. With respect to the orientation of the of the wafer carrier on the spindle (claim 13), Examiner recognizes that in Schrader the vertical orientation is reversed. However, it is well known in the art that wafers can be successfully processed right side up or upside down and to reverse this orientation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1763

- 11. Further the courts have ruled that mere rearrangement of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F. 2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided a wafer carrier in Ohkase et al. and Noorbakhsh et al. in order to support wafers during a coating process and to have provided a spindle in Ohkase et al. and Noorbakhsh et al. for rotatively supporting the wafer carrier in order to aid in uniform coating and thickness and quality as taught by Schrader.
- 13. With respect to claims 2 and 12, said reactor further comprises tubing (Figure 3, 334) connected with said internal cavity of said shutter for supplying said cooling fluid to said internal cavity.
- 14. With respect to claim 3, said tubing includes at least one inlet tube for introducing said cooling fluid into said internal cavity and at least one outlet tube from removing said cooling fluid from said internal cavity (paragraphs 31 and 40).
- 15. With respect to claim 4, said tubing may include more than one inlet tube and more than one outlet tube (paragraphs 31 and 40).
- 16. With respect to claim 6, the liner of the reactor in Noorbakhsh et al. comprises stainless steel or another metal compatible with the process being carried out in the reactor (paragraph 56).
- 17. With respect to claims 7 and 15, said reactor further comprises an injection flange (Figure 2, 28) for introducing reactants inside said reaction chamber.
- 18. With respect to claim 8, Chiang et al. further disclose the invention substantially as claimed and as described above, including one or more heating elements (Figure 2, 16) provided in communication with said reaction chamber.
- 19. With respect to claim 9, Chiang et al. further disclose a heat shield (Figure 2, 6A) for directing heat.

Art Unit: 1763

- 20. With respect to claim 10, said cylindrical shutter is "substantially" hollow to the extent that an internal cavity is provided with a volume which is capable of achieving a specific temperature of the shutter by providing a cooling fluid in the internal cavity.
- 21. Additionally with respect to claim 15, said reactor comprises a base plate (Figure 13, 112; paragraph 96).
- 22. With respect to Figure 16, said base plate of Noorbakhsh et al. has openings for said tubing and said tubing extends through said opening (see Figure 1).
- 23. With respect to claim 17, said tubing has an upper end connected with said shutter (see Figure
- 1). Different portions of the tubing are connected at the top or the bottom.
- 24. With respect to Figure 18, said tubing has a lower end located outside said reaction chamber and in fluid communication with a reservoir (121) of said cooling fluid (see Figure 1).
- 25. With respect to claim 19, the courts have ruled that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969).
- 26. With respect to claim 24, said reaction chamber is substantially cylindrical (Ohkase-column 4, rows 6-10).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 27. Claims 5 and 20-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 28. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest that the tubing is connected with said shutter for moving simultaneously with said shutter when said shutter moves between said first and second shutter positions; nor does the prior art of record teach or fairly suggest the lower end of said tubing is connected to a movable plate. Additionally, no other piece of prior art possessing the aforementioned features was located that provided motivation for combination.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 6

Response to Arguments

29. Applicant's declarations under 1.131 regarding invention and reduction to practice prior to Chiang

and Tung have been entered. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further

consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ohkase and Noorbakhsh.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gregory Mills can be reached on 571.272.1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

km

18 August 2004

P. Hassanzodel Parviz Hassanzadel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763